

Template for presenting promising practice

[More on this typology below](#)

Guidelines for selection of promising practice

- ✓ The activity should be established and demonstrate a positive impact.
- ✓ There should be evidence that the activity has been useful. Preferably, it has been externally evaluated.
- ✓ The initiative has been tested in practice (but does not need to be fully implemented).

Please complete the fields below!

1. Name of the initiative

2. Organiser /service provider

<

3. Summary

Short description of the initiative

4. Target group

Whom is the initiative targeted to? Who can participate? Is it targeted to people of a certain background, age, gender, language skills etc., or is it an open activity that is aimed at everyone?

5. Description of activity and expected results and effects

Describe the content of the actual initiative, the important elements, how long it lasts and whether there are different steps to go through. What are the goals of the initiative?

What outcome is expected from the participants in/recipients of the initiative? Elaborate on the effects of the initiatives. What does the activity change? What are the impacts on the participants' lives?

6. Theory and knowledge

Describe the theory or knowledge background for the initiative. Is the initiative based on research on what works for the target group, and has other knowledge contributed to your initiative?

7. Competences

Is it an initiative that requires a special qualification/competence of the provider, or is no special training required? Is there a training programme that the provider can attend to learn how to deliver the initiative or are they trained internally within the organization? Is there a manual to support those working on the initiative?

8. Economy

How is the initiative funded and what are the costs? What types of costs are required (training, salaries, facilities, material etc.)?

9. Assessments of providers and views of participants

How do *providers* view the initiative? Do you see it as useful? What is working well and what are the main challenges?

How do *participants* view the initiative? Has any type of participant survey or similar been conducted? How do you know what the participants think of the activities?

10. Quote (optional but would be interesting!)

A quote given by one of the users to describe their experience with the initiative.

11. Evaluation, studies and monitoring

Has the initiative been evaluated (internally or externally), or is there one under way? It may involve a study of the outcomes and impact of the initiative, pre- and post-initiative measurements, or a follow-up whether the initiative is being carried out in the way that was intended. What are the conclusions in the evaluation? Link to or attach any evaluations or documentation that exist.

12. Learnings and dissemination, implementation nationally/in the Nordics

Is the initiative possible to implement elsewhere in your country or in the Nordics/internationally? Has it been done? Can it be upscaled?

Can you share some tips for others interested in testing the initiative?

Do you have training courses, guidebooks, or such to learn how to deliver the initiative?

13. Read more and contact information

Links to homepages or reports where you can read more about the initiative.

Contact information to your organization.

You can also send documents by e-mail, and we can upload them to the webpage.

We would also gladly receive real-life photos. Attach also name of the photographer.

Thank you!

About the typology

The typology in this template is used to give structure and increase transparency when we are presenting promising practice. There is often a lack of research on evidence on the effects of activities in supporting integration. The authority, municipality or civil society organization responsible for the initiative completes the template/description of the initiative and results.

The typology is based on research and has been developed and modified from a tool that was introduced by VIVE – The Danish Center for Social Science Research (formerly SFI – Det Nationale forskningscenter for Velfærd) and Socialstyrelsen in Denmark. You can read more about the typology and [in this report by the Nordic Welfare Centre](#).